



May 8, 2000

Mr. Steven D. Monté
Assistant City Attorney
Police and Courts Building
City of Dallas
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2000-1763

Dear Mr. Monté:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 136111.

The Dallas Police Department received a request for a copy of offense report number 0508550-G. You claim that portions of the information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

You state that, based on section 552.101, you wish to withhold any victim identifiers contained within the offense report. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 encompasses common law privacy and excepts from disclosure private facts about an individual. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Therefore, information may be withheld from the public when (1) it is highly intimate and embarrassing such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. *Id.* at 685; Open Records Decision No. 611 at 1(1992).

This office has found that, in general, section 552.101 does not except from public disclosure the names of crime victims. *See* Open Records Decision No. 409 at 2 (1984). However, we have concluded that the names of victims of sexual assault and child victims of sexual abuse and serious sexual offenses are excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 and common law privacy grounds. *See* Open Records Decision No. 339 at 2 (1982). In the instant case, the police report pertains to a sexual assault in which the victim did not use a pseudonym. We believe that the requirements of common law privacy may be

satisfied by redacting, prior to release, identifying information such as the victim's name (if given),¹ work information, the names of others such as family members through whom the victim could be identified, the victims' and such other individuals' addresses and telephone numbers, and the locations of the crimes if they coincide with the victims' addresses or otherwise tend to specifically identify the victim. We have marked the victim's identifying information that you must withhold under common law privacy as encompassed by section 552.101.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

¹Note that pseudonyms are not identifying information and therefore should be released.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Amanda Crawford". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Amanda" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Crawford".

Amanda Crawford
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AEC/nc

Ref: ID# 136111

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Jim Roach
San Jose Police Department
201 W. Mission St.
San Jose, CA 95110-
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. F. Gallegos
San Jose Police Department
201 W. Mission St.
San Jose, CA 95110-
(w/o enclosures)